mLAC Journal for Arts, Commerce and Sciences (m-JACS)
Volume 4, No.5, January 2026, P 58-65
ISSN: 2584-1394 (Online)

PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS AND RETAIL INVESTOR BEHAVIOR: A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY ON ESCALATING RISK TOLERANCE IN BULLISH MARKETS

Shikha Premkant Pandey *[1]
[1]Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Commerce, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, India
*** Corresponding author email address**: sheekhapandey121197@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.59415/mjacs.305 | ARK: https://n2t.net/ark:/26340/MJACS.v4i5.305

Abstract

Retail investor behavior has shifted noticeably in bullish markets, with more individuals willing to take higher risks. This paper explores how public-private partnerships (PPPs), though not always at the forefront of investor behavior discussions, may be quietly shaping these shifts. My aim is to examine the indirect impact of PPP-driven efforts—like fintech tie-ups, digital onboarding platforms, and financial education programs—on retail investors' confidence and decision-making. Using a descriptive, literature-based approach, I trace how these collaborations between government and private entities help create an environment of trust and accessibility. These aren't just infrastructure improvements; they change how people perceive the market itself. When systems feel more reliable and easier to access, people are more likely to engage—and take bigger bets.The paper also looks at how policy messaging and media coverage, often rooted in PPP initiatives, reinforce this growing appetite for risk. While increased participation is a positive trend, it's important to consider what this means for new or inexperienced investors navigating volatile markets. Rather than offering hard data, this study opens up space for deeper questions: Are we unintentionally encouraging risk without safeguards? What responsibility do institutions have when their reforms shift investor behavior? By bringing PPPs into the behavioral finance conversation, this paper highlights an overlooked but important link that deserves closer attention.

This paper argues that PPPs are an **underexamined driver of retail investor behavior** in bullish markets. Through a descriptive approach, the study explores how PPP-driven initiatives—digital onboarding, fintech tie-ups, literacy campaigns, and regulatory collaborations—are shaping retail investors' psychology and indirectly **escalating their risk tolerance**.

Keywords: Retail Investors, Risk Tolerance, Public-Private Partnerships, Bullish Market, Investor Psychology, Financial Infrastructure

1. Introduction

Digital The world of finance is not merely about numbers, charts, and economic forecasts; it is equally about **human psychology, trust, and narratives**. In the twenty-first century, the financial marketplace has undergone a quiet but powerful transformation: the **rise of retail investors**. Unlike institutional investors, retail investors often enter the market with personal savings, limited resources, and diverse motivations—ranging from long-term wealth building to short-term speculation. Over the past decade, and particularly since the COVID-19 pandemic, **India has seen an exponential surge in retail participation**. The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) reported that more than 58 million new demat accounts were opened between 2020 and 2023. This surge was not merely numerical; it reflected a deeper **shift in investor psychology**, with individuals demonstrating a stronger willingness to engage in risky investments—especially during bullish market phases when optimism prevails. The **central puzzle** of this research is: *What factors are encouraging retail investors to tolerate higher risks than before?* Conventional explanations point to economic cycles, social media influence, or the democratization of trading platforms. While valid, these explanations overlook a powerful, often invisible enabler: **Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)**. Traditionally, PPPs are associated with physical infrastructure—roads, airports, energy projects. But in modern economies, PPPs have extended into **digital infrastructure and financial ecosystems**. Aadhaar-based KYC systems, UPI (Unified Payments Interface), e-KYC for mutual funds, government-backed fintech sandboxes, and collaborative financial literacy campaigns—all these are the results of **state-private collaborations**. These initiatives are not just technical upgrades; they change how ordinary people perceive the market. When financial systems feel reliable, transparent, and easy to access, **retail investors develop greater trust**. In bullish markets, this trust translates into **higher risk tolerance**, as individuals feel secure enough to take bolder bets.

This paper argues that PPPs are an **underexamined driver of retail investor behavior** in bullish markets. Through a descriptive approach, the study explores how PPP-driven initiatives—digital onboarding, fintech tie-ups, literacy campaigns, and regulatory collaborations—are shaping retail investors' psychology and indirectly **escalating their risk tolerance**.

1.1 Objectives of the Study

The specific objectives of this study are to:
Explore the evolution of PPPs from infrastructure to financial ecosystems.
Understand the psychological dimensions of retail investor risk-taking in bullish markets.
Develop a conceptual framework linking PPP initiatives to investor confidence and risk tolerance.
Provide comparative analysis through tabular data between India and selected global economies.
Examine policy implications, potential risks, and ethical responsibilities associated with this shift.

1.2 Research Questions

1. How have PPPs contributed to creating a financial ecosystem that promotes retail investor participation?
2. In what ways do PPP initiatives influence trust, confidence, and risk tolerance among retail investors?
3. Are PPP-driven reforms unintentionally encouraging excessive risk-taking?
4. What safeguards should be built into PPP frameworks to protect inexperienced investors?

2. Literature Review

The literature review surveys three strands of scholarship: Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs), Retail Investor Behaviour and Risk Tolerance, and the missing intersection between the two.

2.1 Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs): Beyond Roads and Bridges

The concept of PPPs is rooted in the idea that governments and private enterprises can collaborate to deliver services more efficiently than either could achieve alone (Hodge & Greve, 2017). Originally, PPPs were most visible in hard infrastructure: highways, power plants, airports, and public utilities. In countries like India, these models became essential for bridging infrastructure gaps without overburdening public finances (World Bank, 2018).

However, the scope of PPPs has expanded dramatically. Governments now rely on private innovation to build digital infrastructure, financial technologies, and inclusive platforms. For instance:

- India: Aadhaar identity project (a PPP with private tech providers) revolutionized banking and financial access.
- Singapore: The Smart Nation initiative, developed with telecom companies and fintech's, transformed digital payments.
- UK: The Open Banking initiative, jointly pushed by regulators and private banks, democratized access to financial data.
- Kenya: M-Pesa (backed by Vodafone and government support) expanded financial inclusion for millions.

These examples highlight how PPPs have moved from physical to digital and financial ecosystems—a shift with profound consequences for investor behavior and trust.

2.2 Retail Investor Behavior and Risk Tolerance

The second strand of literature explores behavioral finance, which challenges the classical notion of "rational" investors. Instead, scholars emphasize biases, heuristics, and social influences in shaping investment decisions (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979; Barberis & Thaler, 2003).

Key findings include:

- Overconfidence Bias: In bullish markets, retail investors overestimate their knowledge, leading to riskier bets.

- Herd Behavior: Investors mimic others' actions, creating bubbles and sharp corrections (Banerjee, 1992).
- Recency Bias: Recent market trends influence expectations, making investors overly optimistic during bull runs.
- Loss Aversion: While investors dislike losses more than they like gains, bullish narratives can temporarily suppress this caution.

In India, SEBI reports (2022) indicate that young, first-time investors—many from Tier-II and Tier-III cities—entered markets during the pandemic. The ease of mobile-based trading, social media influence, and bullish conditions encouraged them to tolerate risks their parents' generation would have avoided.

Globally, the GameStop saga in the U.S. (2021) revealed how digital platforms and social narratives could drive retail investors into high-risk collective action, often without considering fundamentals.

Thus, risk tolerance is not fixed; it is shaped by accessibility, narratives, and structural reforms—precisely where PPPs come into play.

2.3 PPPs and Investor Psychology: Bridging the Gap

Despite their growing role, PPPs rarely feature in behavioral finance literature. Yet, their influence is undeniable. PPP initiatives provide:

1. Digital Onboarding: Aadhaar-linked e-KYC simplified account opening, reducing psychological barriers for first-time investors.
2. Trust Narratives: Government backing of fintech platforms increases perceptions of security.
3. Financial Literacy: Joint campaigns (e.g., SEBI + private brokers) improve confidence in navigating markets.
4. Reduced Frictions: Faster payment settlements through PPP-backed systems like UPI make investing "easy," subtly encouraging risk-taking.

Schiller (2019) argues that "narratives drive markets." PPPs create narratives of progress, safety, and accessibility—which, during bullish phases, amplify investor optimism and willingness to take risks.

Thus, literature suggests a triangular relationship:

PPP Initiatives → Enhanced Trust & Access → Higher Risk Tolerance in Bullish Markets

This triangular relationship forms the foundation of the conceptual framework developed in this study.

3. Conceptual Framework

A conceptual framework helps structure the theoretical logic of the study. Here, the framework links PPPs, psychological trust, and retail investor risk tolerance in bullish markets.

3.1 Core Components

1. Public-Private Partnerships (Independent Variable):

- Digital platforms (Aadhaar, UPI, e-KYC)
- Fintech collaborations (apps, brokers, banks)
- Literacy campaigns (SEBI–broker tie-ups, investor education drives)

2. Investor Psychology (Mediating Variable):

- Trust in financial systems
- Perceived ease of access
- Confidence in regulation and transparency

3. Risk Tolerance in Bullish Markets (Dependent Variable):

- Increased equity exposure
- Trading in derivatives and volatile instruments
- Speculative behaviour (e.g., IPO hype, meme-stock equivalents in India)

3.2 Conceptual Model (Textual Form)

[PPP Initiatives]

↓

(Enhanced Accessibility, Trust, Literacy)

↓

[Investor Psychology → Higher Confidence]

↓

[Escalated Risk Tolerance in Bullish Markets]

3.3 Theoretical Underpinnings

- Behavioral Finance Theory explains why investors deviate from rational models. PPPs enhance the perceived rationality of systems, which paradoxically allows irrational optimism to thrive.
- Social Capital Theory suggests that trust and networks reduce transaction costs. PPPs act as trust brokers, creating psychological safety nets.
- Institutional Theory argues that reforms and structures shape behaviors. PPP-backed institutions normalize riskier investment practices as "safe" and "modern."

3.4 Research Gap

While PPPs are celebrated in policy literature for promoting inclusion, their behavioral side effects—particularly the escalation of retail risk tolerance—remain underexplored. This study fills that gap by linking policy structures with psychological outcomes, creating a bridge between infrastructure studies and behavioral finance scholarship.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive research design based on secondary data and literature. Unlike empirical or survey-based studies, the aim here is not to collect numerical data from retail investors but to synthesize existing evidence, policy reports, and scholarly insights to form an interpretive analysis.

The descriptive method is suitable because:

- PPP-driven financial reforms are well-documented in policy reports, government white papers, and international case studies.
- Retail investor behavior has been extensively studied in behavioral finance literature, especially in the context of bullish markets.
- The novelty of this paper lies not in raw data collection but in connecting two distinct bodies of knowledge—PPPs and retail investor psychology.

4.2 Data Sources

- Secondary Literature: Academic journal articles, books, and working papers on PPPs, behavioral finance, and retail investing.
- Policy Reports: NITI Aayog reports on Digital India, SEBI annual reports, World Bank PPP case studies.
- Studies: McKinsey, PwC, and EY reports on fintech, retail participation, and risk-taking trends.
- Comparative Case Data: Country-specific initiatives (India, U.S., U.K., Singapore, Kenya).

4.3 Analytical Approach

1. The methodology uses a three-step approach:
2. Mapping PPP Initiatives in finance across selected countries.
3. Identifying Investor Behavioral Shifts observed in bullish market cycles.
4. Comparing and Synthesizing the relationship between PPP-driven initiatives and escalating risk tolerance.

Tabular analysis is employed for comparative clarity.

4.4 Limitations

1. Absence of Primary Data: Since this is a descriptive study, findings rely on secondary sources.
2. Market Context Dependence: Bullish markets amplify psychological trends; results may differ in bearish phases.
3. Complexity: While PPPs influence investor behavior, they operate alongside other factors (media, culture, income growth).

5. Comparative Analysis

To ground the conceptual framework, this section compares PPP-driven financial initiatives and retail investor behavior across India and selected countries.

5.1 India

India's financial landscape has undergone massive digital reforms, many of which are PPP-driven. The Aadhaar project (a collaboration between UIDAI, private IT firms, and banks) enabled seamless e-KYC, dramatically lowering barriers to account opening. UPI, jointly developed by the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI—a government-private consortium) and private banks, revolutionized digital payments.

These PPP-backed initiatives created ease, trust, and accessibility—conditions that encouraged millions of Indians to enter financial markets during bullish cycles.

5.2 Global Comparisons

United States: The rise of retail inv• esting through platforms like Robinhood is not a direct PPP, but government support for open data, regulatory sandboxes, and fintech innovation indirectly function as PPP-style initiatives. The result: unprecedented risk appetite, evident in meme-stock episodes (GameStop, AMC).

United Kingdom: The Open Banking initiative—jointly driven by regulators and private banks—expanded access to financial data. By empowering retail investors with real-time financial insights, it indirectly supported bolder investment behaviors.

Singapore: The Smart Nation PPP, involving telecom firms, banks, and government agencies, enhanced digital payments and securities access. Retail investors here enjoy high trust in digital financial systems, leading to greater confidence in equity markets.

Kenya: The M-Pesa story illustrates how mobile-money PPPs can dramatically expand inclusion. While primarily used for payments, it has also enabled small-scale retail investing.

5.3 Tabular Comparison

Below is a comparative table (Word-friendly format) summarizing PPP initiatives and their influence on retail investor psychology:

Table 1: PPP-Driven Financial Initiatives and Impact on Retail Investor Risk Tolerance

Country	Key PPP/Initiative	Features	Effect on Retail Investors	Impact on Risk Tolerance in Bullish Markets
India	Aadhaar, UPI, Digital India, SEBI-Broker Campaigns	e-KYC, seamless digital payments, literacy drives	Millions of new demat accounts, growing participation from smaller towns	Significant rise in risk-taking, especially in equities and IPOs
U.S.	Fintech Regulation Support, Data Access (Robinhood, SEC oversight)	Low-cost trading, gamification	Democratization of investing, surge in young retail investors	Extreme cases of speculative trading (meme stocks)
U.K.	Open Banking PPP	Real-time financial data sharing	Improved access to market insights	Encouraged higher confidence and short-term trading
Singapore	Smart Nation PPP	Fintech integration with state-backed infrastructure	High trust in digital financial systems	Increased participation in equity markets, moderate risk-taking
Kenya	M-Pesa (Vodafone + Govt support)	Mobile money ecosystem	Financial inclusion for rural and low-income users	Small-scale retail investing, moderate rise in risk tolerance

5.4 Key Insights from Comparative Analysis

1. Accessibility Drives Entry: Whether through Aadhaar in India or M-Pesa in Kenya, PPPs remove technical and bureaucratic barriers.
2. Trust Narratives Amplify Confidence: Singapore and India show that state-backed fintech creates

psychological safety nets.

3. Speculative Risks Are Context-Dependent: The U.S. example demonstrates that PPP-like reforms can unintentionally fuel speculative bubbles.
4. Scale Matters: India's population size magnifies the behavioral impact of PPPs, unlike smaller economies where risk escalation is less dramatic.

6. Findings & Discussion

6.1 Findings

From the comparative analysis, the following findings emerge:

1. PPPs Enhance Financial Accessibility → By simplifying onboarding and transactions, PPPs lower entry barriers, leading to mass retail participation.
2. Trust is a Psychological Enabler → Government endorsement of digital platforms instils confidence in investors who might otherwise be risk-averse.
3. Bullish Cycles Magnify Risk Tolerance → In upward-trending markets, PPP-created confidence converts into higher risk-taking, sometimes excessive.
4. Global Variation in Outcomes → PPP impact varies by socio-economic context: extreme speculation in the U.S., gradual inclusion in Kenya, and mass retail enthusiasm in India.
5. Unintended Consequences → While PPPs promote inclusion, they also enable speculative behaviour that may expose inexperienced investors to volatility.

6.2 Discussion

The discussion centres on interpreting findings within broader theories:

- Behavioral Finance Perspective: PPPs act as catalysts that reduce psychological friction, leading to optimism bias and overconfidence in bullish markets.
- Policy Perspective: Policymakers often measure PPP success in terms of inclusion (e.g., number of accounts opened), but ignore behavioral consequences like risk escalation.
- Ethical Perspective: Both governments and private firms share responsibility for ensuring that PPP-driven enthusiasm does not mislead vulnerable investors into reckless speculation.

A paradox emerges: PPPs designed to promote financial stability and inclusion may inadvertently fuel instability through excessive risk tolerance.

7. Policy Implications

The findings of this study reveal that Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are not simply infrastructural or administrative arrangements—they are behavioral catalysts. They reshape the financial environment in ways that influence retail investor psychology, particularly risk tolerance in bullish markets. This recognition calls for nuanced policy responses.

7.1 Implications for Government

Investor Protection as a Core PPP Objective: Traditionally, PPPs emphasize infrastructure delivery and financial inclusion. Policymakers must expand their vision to include behavioral safeguards. For instance, Aadhaar and UPI were designed to facilitate access; future PPPs should include risk awareness modules to temper overconfidence.

Data-Driven Monitoring: Governments should track not just quantitative indicators (number of accounts opened, transaction volumes) but also qualitative behavioral indicators—such as retail exposure to high-risk products (derivatives, IPOs).

Incentivizing Responsible Innovation: PP contracts should include clauses encouraging responsible fintech design. Features like gamification, which encourage speculative trading, should be regulated.

7.2 Implications for Private Sector

1. Ethical Responsibility of Fintechs

Private firms in PPP arrangements often focus on profit and user acquisition. Yet, their role in shaping investor psychology imposes an ethical duty. Brokerages and apps should embed risk disclosure nudges and cooling-off periods for high-risk products.

2. Partnerships for Literacy

Firms should co-create financial education modules with regulators, delivered through apps or investor portals. Research shows that retail investors are more receptive when education is embedded in the platforms they already use.

3. Balanced Narratives

Marketing campaigns should avoid overselling “easy wealth” narratives. Instead, balanced communication about risks and rewards can build long-term trust.

7.3 Implications for Retail Investors

1. Critical Financial Literacy

Investors must move beyond basic literacy (knowing how to buy shares) to critical literacy (understanding systemic risks, recognizing psychological biases).

2. Community-Based Learning

PPPs can promote investor clubs or digital communities moderated by experts, where peer learning tempers speculative impulses.

3. Awareness of Behavioral Biases

Retail investors should be made aware of overconfidence, herd behaviour, and recency bias, particularly during bullish phases.

8. Challenges & Criticisms

While PPPs have been instrumental in driving financial inclusion and participation, several challenges and criticisms must be addressed.

8.1 Over-Reliance on Technology

PPP-driven financial systems often emphasize digital-first solutions. While effective for urban and younger populations, they risk excluding older or digitally illiterate citizens. The digital divide may create asymmetric risk exposure, where inexperienced participants rely heavily on hearsay or informal advice.

8.2 Speculative Excesses

The U.S. meme-stock phenomenon demonstrates how easy access, enabled by PPP-like fintech reforms, can fuel speculative bubbles. In India, the IPO frenzy of 2021–22 echoed similar risks. Critics argue that PPPs unintentionally encourage speculation by making investing “too easy.”

8.3 Regulatory Lag

Technology evolves faster than regulation. PPPs often introduce innovations (digital onboarding, instant payments) before regulators have fully assessed their behavioral implications. This regulatory lag exposes retail investors to risks that safeguards fail to anticipate.

8.4 Accountability Dilemmas

In PPPs, responsibility is shared. When excessive risk-taking leads to losses, who is accountable—the government, the private partner, or the investor? Without clear accountability frameworks, PPPs may face criticism for privatizing gains while socializing risks.

8.5 Behavioral Blind Spots in Policy Design

Most PPP success metrics are quantitative (number of users, transaction volume). The behavioural dimension—investor psychology—is rarely measured. Ignoring this blind spot risks undermining long-term financial stability.

9. Conclusion

This study explored the intersection between Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and retail investor behaviour, with a focus on escalating risk tolerance in bullish markets. Through a descriptive methodology and comparative analysis, the research highlighted the underexamined role of PPPs in shaping investor psychology.

Key conclusions include:

1. PPPs enhance accessibility, trust, and literacy, creating conditions that encourage retail market entry.
2. In bullish cycles, these structural advantages amplify risk tolerance, sometimes leading to speculative excesses.
3. The impact of PPPs varies globally, with India experiencing mass retail enthusiasm, the U.S. showcasing speculative extremes, and Kenya highlighting inclusive but cautious adoption.
4. Policymakers, private firms, and retail investors must recognize PPPs as behavioural influencers, not just

infrastructural enablers.

The broader implication is clear: financial reforms are not neutral. By altering psychological landscapes, PPPs shape not only how investors enter markets but also how they behave once inside. A forward-looking agenda must embed behavioral safeguards into PPP frameworks, ensuring that inclusion does not inadvertently translate into instability.

This research contributes to the field by integrating infrastructure studies with behavioral finance, opening space for further investigation. Future studies may use empirical surveys to quantify the exact magnitude of PPP influence on investor risk tolerance, providing an evidence-based foundation for policy design.

10. References

1. Baker, S. R., Bloom, N., Davis, S. J., & Kost, K. J. (2022). The unprecedented stock market impact of COVID-19. *The Review of Asset Pricing Studies, 12*(1), 1–44. https://doi.org/10.1093/rapstu/raab013
2. Banerjee, A. V. (1992). A simple model of herd behavior. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 107*(3), 797–817. https://doi.org/10.2307/2118364
3. Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A survey of behavioral finance. In G. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. Stulz (Eds.), *Handbook of the economics of finance* (pp. 1053–1128). Elsevier.
4. Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C. (2017). On public–private partnership performance: A contemporary review. *Public Works Management & Policy, 22*(1), 55–78. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087724X16657830
5. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263–291. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185
6. NITI Aayog. (2021). *Digital India: Transforming governance and empowering citizens*. Government of India.
7. Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2022). *Annual report 2021–22*. https://www.sebi.gov.in/reports-and-statistics/publications/annual-reports.html
8. Shiller, R. J. (2019). *Narrative economics: How stories go viral and drive major economic events*. Princeton University Press.
9. World Bank. (2018). *Public-private partnerships: Reference guide version 3.0*. World Bank Group. https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/library/ppp-reference-guide-3-0